ISSN PRINT: 2789-8776
ISSN ONLINE: 2789-8784

ZAUQ-E-TAHQEEQ
www.Zauq-e-Tahqeeq.com
etitor@zauq-e-tahqeeq.com

ISSN PRINT: 2789-8776
ISSN ONLINE:2789-8784
Volume.4,Issue No.1(2022)
(January to June)

Feminine Fragility in Marlowe's Works: A Critical Analysis within the Realm of Queenly Patronage

Amara Faheem
Lecturer, Department of English,Lahore Leads University,Lahore

Abstract

This research article examines the seminal contributions of Christopher Marlowe to feminist discourse within the context of Renaissance theatre. Marlowe's innovative approach to depicting female characters in key tragedies such as "Tamburlaine the Great (1587)," "The Tragic History of Dr. Faustus (1604)," "The Jew of Malta (1590)," and "Dido, the Queen of Carthage (1593)" is analyzed through a qualitative lens. By exploring themes of ambition, agency, desire, and resilience, this study uncovers Marlowe's nuanced portrayal of women in a male-dominated society. Additionally, the research contends that Marlowe's work was influenced by the reign of Queen Elizabeth, making him a trailblazer in initiating discussions on gender dynamics within Elizabethan drama. This analysis offers a comprehensive understanding of Marlowe's enduring impact on feminist discourse in Renaissance theatre, solidifying his legacy as a forerunner in the portrayal of women on the stage.

Keywords: Christopher Marlowe, feminist discourse, Renaissance theatre, female characters, Queen Elizabeth

Introduction

In the tapestry of early modern England, where male dominance was pervasive, the reign of Queen Elizabeth I stood as a singular exception. In a society where power structures were deeply entrenched in patriarchy, the figure of the sovereign often likened to the head of a household, wielded authority over a court predominantly comprised of men. This disjunction between rhetoric and reality, where a gendered male persona governed political affairs while Elizabeth's corporeal existence embodied unmistakable femininity, encapsulated the complexities of the era.

Christopher Marlowe, an architect of innovative masterpieces, navigated this landscape, leaving an indelible mark on the portrayal of women in Renaissance drama. Against the backdrop of Elizabethan England, Marlowe's works serve as both a reflection and critique of the societal norms of his time. His plays offer a nuanced exploration of female significance, intertwining it with the political, religious, and social dynamics that defined the era. Marlowe's narratives unveil a tapestry of political intrigue, social interaction, and religious discourse, all viewed through the lens of gender.

As Irving Ribnar astutely observes, Marlowe's tragedies, at their core, transcend the individual fates of characters, delving into the universal truth of human mortality: "If there is any tragedy in the play", remarks Irving Ribnar for the work of Marlowe, "It

is in the tragedy of man himself, the recognition that all men, no matter how great or noble, must inevitably die"[i]. The intricate plots woven by Marlowe unravel a rich tapestry of political, social, religious, and sexual dynamics, encapsulating the collective consciousness and conflicts of his contemporaries. In doing so, Marlowe's works not only adhere to the prevailing principles of Elizabethan England but also challenge and redefine them.

Unlike Dido, Queen Elizabeth I possessed a unique ability to navigate the intricacies of courtly politics, deflecting the allure of foreign influences. Marlowe bestows upon her a semblance of flawed perfection, capturing the essence of her sovereignty. Deanne Williams aptly notes that Marlowe's works underscore the deliberate ambiguity surrounding Elizabeth's marital status, a resolution in itself: "dramatizes the loss of sovereignty that Elizabeth (and England) avoided by resolving the question of marriage, characteristically, by refusing to resolve it at all"[ii].
The Renaissance notion that a woman displaying qualities akin to a man possessed a man's soul in a woman's body underscores the complexity of gender dynamics during this period. Auld narrates, "if a woman gave evidence of powers and virtues comparable to those of a man, she was thought of as having the nature of a man; a man's soul in a woman's body"[iii]. Female members exhibited audacity, confrontation, or the strength not anticipated of their sex; however they had virile attributes or qualities[iv] .But, with all her confines, she was preferred and regarded to one gifted with courage, energy, independence, advantage, and strength of willpower[v] .
In the realm of Elizabethan literature, Christopher Marlowe's portrayal of women has been a subject of considerable scholarly discourse, particularly within feminist scholarship. Noteworthy researchers like Juliet Dusinberre[vi] and Irene Dash[vii] have illuminated Marlowe's representation of strong, confident, and influential female characters who often engage in intellectual and social contests with their male counterparts. This perspective challenges traditional gender roles, offering a nuanced view of women in Marlowe's works.

Conversely, an alternate viewpoint posits that Renaissance culture relegated women to a secondary status. Scholars including Ania Loomba, Lisa Jardine, and Dympna Callaghan build upon this argument[viii], asserting that theatrical presentations of the time tended to downplay male anxieties and concerns about female authority. The multiplicity of perspectives surrounding the societal and literary dynamics of the 16th century underscores the intricate nature of this discourse. This research endeavours to address a fundamental question: "Why do Marlowe's female characters appear feeble and subservient during the reign of the formidable Queen Elizabeth?" This inquiry will be pursued through a rigorous examination of pertinent remarks and references, providing valuable insights into the intricate interplay of gender representation in Marlowe's oeuvre, set against the backdrop of Queen Elizabeth's reign.

This research endeavors to unravel the intricacies of Marlowe's representation of gender dynamics and female empowerment within the socio-political framework of early modern England. Through a comprehensive analysis of Marlowe's works, this study aims to shed light on the interplay between societal norms, political realities, and Marlowe's imaginative world, offering a nuanced perspective on the portrayal of women in Elizabethan literature.

Critical evaluation

This research delves into the portrayal of women in Christopher Marlowe's writings, shedding light on their vulnerabilities and challenges. This critical analysis is particularly crucial within the context of a powerful female monarch, Queen Elizabeth I, who reigned during a time of pronounced male dominance.

By examining Marlowe's treatment of female characters, this study aims to unravel deeper layers of meaning and interpretation within his works. It seeks to highlight how societal norms and power structures influenced his representations of women. Furthermore, the involvement of Queen Elizabeth I as a patron adds an intriguing dimension, as her reign was marked by a unique interplay between her powerful political role and her gender.

This research offers valuable insights into Marlowe's literary contributions and provides a window into the broader cultural and social dynamics of the Renaissance era. It prompts us to consider how gender dynamics were navigated and reflected in the arts during a time of significant historical and cultural transformation.

In essence, this study serves as a testament to the enduring relevance of Marlowe's works and their capacity to offer insights into the complexities of gender relations, especially within the framework of influential female leadership. It invites scholars and enthusiasts alike to engage with these texts with a fresh perspective, enriching our understanding of both Marlowe's literary legacy and the historical context in which he wrote.

Sentimental Society

Marlowe inscribed women as being overcome with little communal, social, financial, or political supremacy. Marlowe in “Tamburlaine” performs like a knight while culturally engaging Zenocrate, probably because of his encounter and commitment with Castiglione's “The Courtier”[ix]. Zenocrate, in numerous ways, proves: "the incarnation of beauty, the product of the conventional Renaissance scorn of women”[x]. She has her fiancé as well as her lover through flattery. She pretends modesty; remonstrating her captivity, but condemns her for having an immoral life with Tamburlaine, consistent with the principles. In tragedy, discourse is also practiced with the personal pronoun I.

Brooks advocates that “Zenocrate does try to live virtuously”[xi]. A passionate speech in Act I aimed at Tamburlaine’s response to Techelles's inquiry, "What now? In love?” reminded him, "Women must be flattered"[xii]. It was the Renaissance belief, shared by the Mongols, that the only key to winning a lady, is flattery[xiii].

In “Tamburlaine, the Great*”*, Tamburlaine expresses her romantic love for Zenocrate as the mediaeval courtly hero, praising her value and presenting her superb rewards for her affection. There is a hyperbole of some obsequiousness in Tamburlaine’s arguments, to be definite, but there is an abundance of genuineness. His devotion is undoubtedly to Zenocrate’s beauty, not her provision for him. His pompous overstated praise and shallow aptitudes to the apparition of attractiveness are destined as a worn, tender tenderness for ‘beauties just applause,’ a detachment of craving for love[xiv].

Agydas is astonished. He cannot accept that this lady desires to, “live and die with Tamburlaine”: “Ah, fair Zenocrate./Let not a man so vile and barbarous/That holds you from your father in spite,/And keeps you from the honours of a Queen/Being suppose his worthless concubine,/Be honoured with your love, but for necessity”[xv]. Zenocrate does not admit her acquaintance's arguments. She solicits him to leave torturing her with inaccurate words: “Speak of Tamburlaine as he deserves: The

entertainment we have had of him is far from a villain or servitude, and might in noble minds be counted princely."[xvi]

Though Zenocrate feels the pride to declare the valiance of his sons: "My gracious Lord, they have their mother's looks, but when they list, their conquering father's heart"[xvii]. It is the way English writers represented the deprivation of women and motherly feelings for children like Zenocrate, who has the qualities of a father but is destitute. A female is redressed in her defense of having the hope of stemming with sons. Renaissance literature, particularly Marlowe, confers upon the feminine faintness through the character of Zenocrate. She is satisfied not only as a beautiful and virtuous wife but also as an example of good motherhood. Though, the character of Zabina is different from that of Zenocrate.

Paul Kocher expresses the reviews for Zenocrate as a "doctrine of necessity": she feels as if he indulges in affairs, while she could have said she is a king as well[xviii]. She thinks that all humanity has the same end. All the authors who are in favour of women recognise this principle[xix]. Tamburlaine loves only power, not humans. He streams the consciousness of his glorious past with uninterrupted success: "He cannot bear to think that all of his martial victories and the thousands of deaths he has caused will not save Zenocrate"[xx]. Harry Levin mentions, "The death of Zenocrate, in the second act, should have been an intimation of his vulnerability".[xxi]

While Tamburlaine, being an egoist, romanticizes his mate to apt at the outset of his value. Tamburlaine's ambition stresses greater activities, fame, distinctions, and heroism. But the egoist nevertheless loves, and subsequent demonstrations of objections in "Tamburlaine I*"* and Tamburlaine's reaction to the death of Zenocrate in "Tamburlaine II" signify that the vanquisher loves intensely in a slanted form[xxii], one gets the practice of courtly love in Tamburlaine's boldness.[xxiii]

Dido's early communications with Aeneas show that she resembles Queen Elizabeth because of the aerobics' control over him. Dido is only a queen of great power. After her first meeting with Aeneas, she instantly reveals and recognises her dominance, as a feeble or emotional woman. Dido is self-confident to the socket of affront, while Aeneas is inadequately feeble. She instructs, "What stranger art thou that dost eye me thus?"[xxiv] And disparages him by saying, "Warlike Aeneas, and in these base robes? / Go fetch the garment which Sichaeus wore".[xxv]

Aeneas momentarily specifies the different ladies that he additionally neglected to save; however, he doesn't wait on the subtleties. This aspect is enormous, not just because Aeneas at last deserts Dido, too, but additionally because it underscores the potential assessments of numerous men, including a sovereign's male admirers, who may accept ladies as disposable. To start with, Aeneas momentarily expresses that he "lost [his] spouse" yet doesn't expand on the conditions.[xxvi] Is it safe to say that she was executed? Kidnapped? Lost? In a real sense he attacked and tousled Cassandra from the roads, yet when the Greeks start to follow him, "[he], oh dear, had to let her falsehood"; she more likely than not been burdening his retreat.[xxvii]

Marlowe's world of writing and the creation of a fictional world were gender-segregated, like the Renaissance Culture. Different sentimental, intellectual, and physical qualities were attributed to this isolated represented society, and to an interesting degree, they occupied separate social spaces. As Faustus ("Dr. Faustus") tells Helen she is brighter than Jupiter and perkier than the pure light of God. He believes that Helen's beauty destroyed Troy, and afterwards, her beauty influenced him to take him back to Lucifer: a powerful evil spirit. Faustus's passion is in fluidity at the purpose when Helen arrives, even she kisses his soul, is aspirant: "Her lips suck forth my soul, see where flies are!".[xxviii]

Faustus further articulates the beauty of Helen, which he is craving: “Was this the face that launched a thousand ships/and burnt the topless towers of Ilium/Sweet Helen, make me immortal with a kiss” (Kisses her).[xxix]
Faustus seeks immortality from her lips, and kisses and embraces her. The kisses from her lovely lips seem to draw out his soul for its flight towards heaven. He finds heaven in her lips and longs to remain attached there forever. He feels that where there is no Helen, everything is trash and worthless: “Come, Helen, come, give me my soul again. Here will I dwell, for heaven is in these lips, and all is dross that is not Helena”.[xxx]
The value and importance of a woman are more evident in Barabas’s degrading and objectification of his daughter, Abigail. Marlowe made a comparison of her with his prosperity in “The Jew of Malta”: “But one sole daughter’, whom I hold as dear, /As Agamemnon did his Iphigen;/And all I have is hers.”[xxxi] Tamburlaine enjoys his victory over the Persian crown to grant Zanocrate his worth.
In the duskiest areas of the Renaissance abstract ground, Barabas[xxxii] depicts himself as a bound and denounced man who typifies the decent, improved figure of a dad. At first, Barabas separates himself from the ruler: “We come not to be rulers”, yet eventually, he presents himself as one of the rulers, says and dominates his “one sole girl”[xxxiii].

Glory of the Theatre

Dido is thus caught and forfeited by the Greeks. Her destruction isn't brought about by mortal activities but by the various heavenly intercessions important to keeping Aeneas centred. The dramas, articles, poems, biographies, letters, or spiritual assessments about women that have been alleged, rediscovered, perceived, investigated, researched, amended, and printed with the movement of feminism in literature serve as a device for reconstructing

Marlowe's Dido isn't the terrified lady, about whom Virgil engraved but instead the sovereign, requesting regard and fear in equivalent offers, reflecting the main reflection of Queen Elizabeth. By depicting Dido with comprehensively unstable advantages, Marlowe works the two dispersals of a fantasy of Dido as a legend, the energetic and compelling ruler, to feature both: Queen Elizabeth and her domain. Iarbas perceives, “Thou of all my wooers/And yet have I had many mightier kings./Have had the greatest favours I could give[xxxiv].

By juxtaposing readings of Renaissance plays with female characters, Findley generates conclusive discourses in plays and efficiently restructures the understanding of the character of ladies in early modern England[xxxv]; Faustus desires his considered love, Helen, “Brighter art thou than flaming Jupiter, /when he appeared to hapless Semele;/more lovely than the monarch of the sky”[xxxvi].

Marlowe‘s Debatable Heroines

Helen's picture in “Dr. Faustus” is a simple dream; a fantasy of a sovereign came to show up by Mephistopheles. Helen is offered to Faustus, given a commendation for him in an appearance of insidious wizardry. Any charm or steadfastness that Faustus vows to Helen would be an immediate faithfulness to Lucifer too. Helen's set of experiences is one of mass bedlam and passing; the wrecked layer of marriage and a great many men who pass on for her, cause curve direct impact on her god-like

excellence. Helen has gotten mortal actual flawlessness, yet she isn't debased, for 'all that is dross isn't Helena!' Helen is an honest carrier of guilt, an ideal, cognizant, not a Christian, but rather mortal and however abides in damnation. Faustus adequately does the picture erring (or hubris) for her as he swears that she is lovelier than God: the 'ruler of the sky'. Faustus is yet enjoying common and exotic delight.
Marlowe reveals male incomparability as male strength, yet he depicts the personality of ladies who, notwithstanding starting demonstrations of the showdown, are energetically complicities in upholding its terms and who are unconscious of or not interested in the limits that a reader finds in their outlined circumstances. Zenorarte opposes the bondage on the first account; afterwards, she seems to accept it, like Desdemona: in "Othello" by Shakespeare[xxxvii]. At the point when Tamburlaine takes steps to assault Egypt, she implores him to "need to feel sorry for her dad, her country, and her purpose"[xxxviii], yet she stays alongside him in any event, when he can't. In Part I, she offers herself up to her children as "what they ought to 'look like' in death"[xxxix].

Zenocrate, then again, is delighted to be the pledge of Tamburlaine. Based on her affection, she secures her position exclusively for 'the Lord of Persia'. Unlike Zabina, she never displays her crown; she wants Tamburlaine's prosperity, force, and glory, but requests none of it for herself. In an event, Zenocrate yearns that she should shield herself from the charge that she is a mistress. Her guard lays on her trust in the respectability of Tamburlaine. It has been said that Zenocrate is a lady trying to carry on with an existence of excellence, and finds the methods through her adoration for Tamburlaine,[xl] However, the proof of the play appears to disprove this thought in neither one nor the other occasions, yet Zenocrate protects herself.

Act III of "Tamburlaine" finishes with two components: the romantic story of Zenocrate and Tamburlaine, and the fairy tale of Zabina and Bajazeth as well[xli]. The theme in Act II about the failure of Zenocrate weakens the plot's temporal unity temporally[xlii]. Zenocrate for Tamburlaine turns into an object of extraordinary worth to which the hero should substantiate himself. Despite Brooks' declaration in a case, that Tamburlaine plays the whole act to satisfy himself for Zenocrate, [xliii] the Vanquisher does the actions, he requires to make himself deserving of the Princess. The scene in which both ladies, Zenocrate, and Zabina, urged by the tautness and uncertainty of the instant, fire malice at one another in the protection of their positions, demonstrates elusive differences of character and delivers some of Marlowe's markedly malicious absurdity. Harry Levin describes it as a parody: "(One sees) Marlowe's stratagem of out-Heroding Herod, which builds Tamburlaine into a king of kings by breaking down the others... absurdly reserved when this tragedy-queens tirade like fishwives, each threatening to make the other servant of the servants".[xliv]

Even though Tamburlaine imperils her status, she is discoloured. Accordingly, acquiescence to men is by and by exhibited in Marlowe's writings. It can likewise be contended that the ladies portrayed are not enslaved by males just; absolutely, assist the feature of outrageous male characters depicted in Marlowe's dramas. The slight part that a lady plays is just basic in the way she represents her companion. Abigail stated, "I'll make them companions once more" [xlv]. Lodowick and Mathias are ludicrously credulous and uncover her oversimplified assessment of the world. Her characteristics of kindness, benevolence, friendship, reliability, magnificence, and warmth, are the outright foil of the 'malevolent, whimsical, and outrageous, though Tamburlaine jeopardises her status. Accordingly, compliance with men is indeed

exhibited in the plays Marlowe represented. It can be said that the ladies who are the presenters are not enslaved; surely they can serve extraordinary features in their actions to their spouses. The slight part, a lady plays is just basic in the keenness of what she can exhibit about her male partner.

Lodowick and Mathias are irrationally guileless and uncover her shortsighted assessment of the world. Her characteristics of 'leniencies, magnanimity, fondness, devotion, magnificence, warmth, are the total foil of the 'malevolent, capricious and outrageous' said Barabas. While it might be correct that without her, his psychopathic inclinations would appear to be less horrendous. It is additionally evident that she and Zenocrate are 'minimal more than manikins pretty much needed to the plot'. Abigail is immediately contaminated and exclusively after Zenocrate's demise do the observers truly become cognizant about Tamburlaine's 'developing fanaticism and over-the-top neurotic damaging tendency'. Marlowe's dramatization portrays the women just as unobtrusive, humble, and to some degree feeble. They are now and again depicted negatively, just like the situation looked at by the main character of Dido, Queen of Carthage.

When Tamburlaine instructs, "Zenocrate, look better to your slave[xlvi], she responds, "She is my handmaid's slave, and she shall look that these abuses flow not from her tongue: Chide her Anippe"[xlvii]. It might be possible that to feminise Zenocrate, Marlowe created malignant flare-ups only to make the enemy ladylike. There is likewise the likelihood that Marlowe is unobtrusively censuring Zabina's boldness and self-will by having his courageous woman incite the Turks' dauntlessness. There is no doubt or uncertainty that Zabina had good attributes of these qualities. But it can be said that the purpose of Marlowe's creation is to epitomise the ruin of Zabina's fate. It might be planned for the audience to think of rebuking Zabina in her unfeminine intensity rather than Zenocrate.

In the soul of Griselda, Zenocrate decides to stay dependable. At the point when Tamburlaine asks as a result on the off chance that she would have their advancement to control halted as the cost of her dad's adoration, Zenocrate responds, "Honor weight on upbeat Tamburlaine:/yet give me leave to argue for him, my Lord"[xlviii]. It is not certifiably a far-reaching withdrawal of her supplication, though it is efficient for her to get concession for Scythian's will, rather than neglecting her dad's trust.

> It is because Zenocrate is uncertain about such loyalties that she is stuck and confused. She has quite recently gotten word that her dad and her pledges are going to fight Tamburlaine. She states unmistakably the hopeless disarray of her spirit in the accompanying discourse:
>
> "Now shame and duty, love and fear present/A thousand sorrowed to my martyred soul:/Whom should I wish the fatal victory? /When my poor's pleasures are divided thus./And racket by duty from my cursed heart:/My father and my first betrothed love,/Must fight against my life and present love."[xlix]

Discussing the enormous injury in her heart brought about by seeing Capolin kick the bucket, the lady shouts out, "Observe Zenocrate, the reviled object/Whose Fortunes never dominated her distresses/Behold her injured in vanity for thee/As much as thy reasonable body is for us (12)." so close, which makes it an odd play. Marlowe fabricated the character of Zenocrate from the society of the Renaissance's hypotheses regarding ladies' being defenceless against the entire indecency of their gender or sex, maybe accidentally. All things considered, Wright favours her, and she accepts a large number of characteristics of sentiment and is a courageous woman. Generally significant, Zenocrate needs to oblige and enthuse Tamburlaine.

In the play “Dido”, Marlowe portrays the weak character of Dido with the bold member: Aeneas of Virgil. In any case, Dido unreasonably executes herself and disposes of her domain when her sweetheart relinquishes her. Aeneas keeps his desires to seek after his proposed forthcomings. Margo Hendricks disputes, “Dido is intended to rehearse the significance of Aeneas [as an ancestor to future Britain], his race, and his fate”[l].

Renaissance Thinking

Faustus knows his destiny, but he does not desire salvation. He is afraid of his eventual life or 'death' in Hell. He dreams of being immortal and fights a symbolic skirmish for knowledge. As Faustus champions Helen (and therefore Hell), he wears his colours, blemished by the devil, and is aware that Wittenberg will descend. He is aware of his last call, but Mephistopheles warns him, "Marriage is but a ceremonial toy"[li]. Though Mephistopheles taunts and presents, "a devil dressed as a woman, with fireworks (stage direction), whom Faustus rejects as a hot whore"[lii], afterwards he encourages the desires, of "the fairest courtesans, every morning to bed"... "She whom thine eye shall like, thy heart shall have,/But she as chaste as was Penelope./As wise as Saba, or as beautiful,/As was bright Lucifer before his fall"[liii].

> Significantly, Mephistopheles not only parallels whores with social females: Helen, glaringly flawless in mythology, with the extensive travail but ever truthful and realistic "Penelope" and with "Saba"; the astute queen of Sheba. Faustus, being a human man disregards Mephistopheles's warning and expresses his yearnings to say:
>
> "One thing, good servant, let me crave of thee,/To glut the longing of my heart's desire,/That I might have unto my paramour,/That Heavenly Helen which I saw of late,/Whose sweet embracing may extinguish clean,/Those thoughts that do dissuade me from my vows,/And keep mine oath I made to Lucifer"[liv].

Faustus longs to be her Paris once more, and for his great love for her, he won't mind getting involved in another grace battle leading to the destruction of Wattenberg instead of Troy. For her sake, he can face chicken-hearted Menelaus; bravely, defeat him once more, and then wear her ensign as a token of victory on the crest of his helmet like mediaeval knights. He is dead sure that he will also be able to kill Achilles, the champion of the Greeks, by wounding him in the heel, his only vulnerable point. And then he would come back to Helen and her kisses for the adoration of his great victory and remain with her forever, forgetting all about heaven or hell, damnation or redemption. Helen alone should be his only paramour, none else,

> "I will be Paris and for love of thee,/Instead of Troy, shall Wittenberg be sacked:/And I will combat with weak Menelaus,/And wear thy colors on my plumed crest;/Yes, I will wound Achilles in the heel,/And then return to Helen for a kiss"[lv].

Heedlessly in agreement with the fiend, he stops being the hunter of knowledge and becomes the seeker of desire. One of the first things he wants is a wife. Helen's picture isn't genuine. It is a hallucination of the long-dead sovereign summoned by Mephistopheles. The Helen that is offered to Faustus, in this manner, is nevertheless another indication of detestable sorcery, praises of Hell[lvi].

It appears accurate that 'ladies' opportunities to flee to govern of "their fathers and husbands are strictly constrained"[lvii], while it is clear in the procrastination or actions of Zenocrate. She pleads with her husband not to attack Egypt, her motherhood country, yet she has to meet with a whole absence of fortune: "Not for the world of Zenocrate if I have sworn", "Despite this cruelty, when she is referred to by Agydas

as a ‘worthless concubine’[lviii]. She instantly protects Tamburlaine and influences Agydas’s voice of Tamburlaine as he deserves. As indicated by her mellow nature, she doesn't think that it’s convenient to set expectations; she resembles the sentimental champions, Griselda and Una.

This is a drawn-out time before Zenocrate gets one more opportunity to talk. Then, Tamburlaine chases her indulgence with the persuasive question: “Disdains Zenocrate to live with me,/Think you I way this treasure more than you.[lix]” Tamburlaine does feel that he has achieved a great victory. The surface is about his military triumphs. Tamburlaine shows respect to Zanocrate’s prettiness in abundance, but the Scythian—and the individuals who partner the creation with the maker—have been blamed for being without reverence and only celebrants of sexy beauty.[lx]

This expression connotes advancement to another development for Zenocrate. She has blinded herself to her darling's inclination, as of not long ago. At the point when others perceive Tamburlaine's brutal and barbarous heart, Agydas, Zabina, and Zenocrate safeguard him. Tamburlaine's brutality never influenced Zenocrate. Presently, be that as it may, the argument, "If any adoration stays in you, my Lord," has relevant importance. All things considered, Zenocrate's darling for her abductor is undaunted, “In the event that my adoration unto your highness may justify favour”[lxi].

As it occurred, the issue straightforwardly influenced Marlowe's utilisation of ladies in the subsequent plot. Marlowe did not have any more fascinating individual characters like Zabina to utilise, and indeed, the references to Zenocrate were essentially depleted. The two different ladies who were associated with Tamburlaine's set of experiences were a couple of dark gatherings of ladies and mistresses who became survivors of the incomparable Scythian's pitilessness. Tamburlaine is as yet in his propensity for liberal applause for the wonderful Zenocrate, and the Empress, resigned and mellow as she turns into an idealistic lady, is as yet accommodating to Tamburlaine's will.

The very worry that Zenocrate shows for her better half's security, recognises the unadulterated womanliness of her temperament. It is a characteristic worry, as the counter-female essayists would see the cold and sodden humour, tentativeness, and absence of clear mental fortitude in a lady. A fearless and brave spouse has a genuine assistant in a humane lady. Enemies of women's activists may see this shortcoming with scorn and excuse it as simply one more indication of a lady of inadequacy. Those, nonetheless, who perceive the helpfulness of ladylike goodness, would observe the humbleness of Zenocrate.

Dido appears to be a typical female: painful, cruel, illogical, irrational, and fueled by her longing and aspiration. Her indifferent and uncaring behaviour towards Iarbas, while Anease, her lover, gets influenced, inspects the impetuous behaviour of womanhood: “O love! O hate! O cruel woman’s heart that imitates the moon in every change” [lxii]. Anyway, Dido isn't just brutal now and again; she is decidedly unbalanced.

In any case, her courtesies will verifiably be enormously regular by Aeneas, it doesn't write down the possibility that the control to present them dishonestly in Dido's pointers. In the long run, however, Dido doesn't have overpowering authority over her success in life. Her affection for Aeneas isn't her determination, as Cupid receives the request to “have my influence, as each touch will wound Queen Dido's heart”[lxiii].

Lusts for Power

Zenocrate is the vital character in Tamburlaine's acceleration of grandeur. Her showdown and struggle with Tamburlaine prompt the adoration subject that oversees the play and gives to its coalition. Henceforth, we have a shade of affection between the legend and Zenocrate. Her first response to the man reflects her fear. Tamburlaine makes efforts to moderate her uncertainties. Zenocrate's next dialogues are not an undeviating reaction to Tamburlaine's intention with a sober and practical warning: "The Gods, defenders of the innocent,/Will never prosper your intended drifts,/That thus oppressed poor friendless passengers,/Therefore at least admit vs. liberty,/Even as thou host to be eternized"[lxiv].

This is the start of the dominant character of Zenocrate being sketched throughout the drama; she is earmarked for her determination to annoy Tamburlaine's enthusiasm[lxv]. This perspective on the blinding longing for command over the world and others is likewise upgraded, while Faustus and Mephistopheles additionally invoke Alexander and his lover at the court for the Emperor's joy.
Abigail is mentioned in Act I as a matter of trade. Barabas' expletive on the recovery of his fortune is also noteworthy, as his words "Oh girl, Oh gold, Oh beauty, Oh my bliss! (II, i)," are calculatingly uncertain. Thus, it appears that his darling wealth and his beloved daughter are indissolubly linked. "My gold, my fortune, my felicity; /Strength to my soul, death to mine enemy;/Welcome the first beginner of my blisse:/Oh! Abigail, Abigail, that I had thee here too,/Then my desires were fully satisfied,/But I will practise thy enlargement thence"[lxvi].

Abigail likewise correlates with 'a valuable stone' by Lodowick, just as her dad Barabas says, "A valuable gem 'yet unfoiled". Along these lines, Abigail's immaculateness can be scavenged as a trade; her purity is significant. It appears that ladies' stability and fates are estimated and impacted by male individuals around them. Abigail compliantly gets consent to join the abbey to recuperate the hidden abundance in line with her dad, and with the assent of her recommendations, Lodowick and Mathias are potholed against one another, which at last results in the demise of the two of them.

Barabas gets dependent on his "one sole little girl", However, later he communicates to Lodowick about his accomplishment of Abigail to him: "Thou shalt be my beneficiary"[lxvii]. Furthermore, later, Barabas acknowledged that Abigail deceived him, and approved the new beneficiary to Ithamore: his slave. "Now shalt thou see the death of Abigail? /that thou mayst freely live to be my heir"[lxviii].

Bajazeth and Zabina, then again, are guilty parties, and Tamburlaine is shipped off in rebuke. Their offence is the haughty veneration of titles. Witness Bajazeth's pleased flaunting when he plans to fight Tamburlaine: "Hey there, my Basso fast to Persia,/Tell him thy Lord the Turkish Emperor,/Dread Lord of Africa, Europe, and Asia,/Great King and conqueror of Gracie,/The Ocean, Terrene, and the coal-black sea,/The high and highest Monarch of the world,/Wils and commands (for saying not I entreat),/Not once to set foot *in* Africa,/Or spread his colours in Gracie,/Least he incur the fury of my wrath... Tell him, I am content to take a truce because I hear he bears a valiant mind. But if presuming on his silly power, he is so mad to manage Armies with me, then stay thou with him, say I bid thee so"[lxix].

Contrast these gloats with a portion of Bajazeth's grumblings after his oppression: "Ah villains, dare you to touch, my sacred area"[lxx]. Great Tamburlaine, great in my overthrow,/Ambitious pride shall make thee fall as low,/For treading on the back of Bajazeth,/That should be horsed on flower mighty kings, is this a place for mighty Baiazeth?[lxxi].

Bajazeth is without a doubt an exceptionally pleased Ruler, as glad as his partner: Zabina has demonstrated to be. However, Bajazeth feels pride in his companionship. She has fought for his:

> "0 dreary Engines of my loathed sight,/That sees my Crowne, my honor and my name,/Thrust under yoke and thralldom of a thief./Why feed ye still on dales accursed beams,/And sink not quite into my tortured Soule?/You see my wife, my Queen, and Empresses,/Brought up and propped by the hand of fame/Queen of fifteen contributory Queens,/Now thrown to rooms of black abjection,/Smeared with blots of basest drudgery:/And Villain esses to shame, disdain, and misery:/Accursed Baiazeth, whose words of Ruth,/That would with pity cheer Zabinas heart"[lxxii].

The state is the account of Tamburlaine II. Tamburlaine seeks Zenocrate only for an impact and Influence to control. That is the reason he is crushed when she unexpectedly holds out her hand to him. He can't acknowledge her passing, and he isn't prepared himself to bite the dust, yet he should have her impact to go on. Nothing else will move him. One of the barbaric actions of Tamburlaine denotes a stage: "the ever-increasing madness of Tamburlaine"[lxxiii]. "His rage at Zenocrate's death has never subsided completely. And he has not yet become aware 'of the presence of (that) wistful melancholy"[lxxiv], "the inevitability of his death". However, Marlowe is cognizant, "of the frailty of man and the transience of his glory"[lxxv].

Dido's inclination to practise total authority over the male partners of the equivalent force confined in the court of Elizabeth could be conveyed by her inclination. As a grown-up, Essex rapidly developed the relationship of Queen Elizabeth with Robert Dudley; though, the equivalent extravagance stemmed from his supreme devastation and subsequent performance. Essex now and again took advantage of Elizabeth's respect to seek after predetermined achievements that lost more dosh than they developed. After different disappointments, particularly politically and systematically in performance, Queen Elizabeth dismissed Essex to restart its conspicuous and financial development.

To start with, it seems evident that Zenocrate, as a result of Tamburlaine's affection for her, is the stirring effect behind Tamburlaine's drive to control. It looks genuine that Zenocrate is drawn as an ideal sentimental champion, ideal upright, and appealing; however, he is given some roundness by being corrupted by a couple of regular ladylike indecencies, unfaithfulness to a sweetheart, being sickly-natured, and having a dread of warlike effort. Her extended character between the two plays incorporates unobtrusiveness, accommodation perseverance, devoutness and dearness, actual excellence, protective affectability, and sympathy for the quickness of life. Her excellent suggestion to win as a hero in the plays depends on her absence of vanity and an indisputable proposition to Tamburlaine.

Then again, Zabina is rebuffed for her presumption despite being a good mother and faithful spouse. But her flaw endeavours to attain equivalent significance to others' wonder and notoriety. The Turkish mistresses, whose shrewdness for ladylike honour is too weedy as a result of narcissism and conceit in the bogus circumstances, are rebuffed. The cultured love custom contributes a few themes to both of the plays,

Tamburlaine's need to substantiate himself as deserving of Zenocrate and his wistfulness towards her are traditional.

Thus, the decisive Zenocrate, momentary Helen, and rejected Dido, in the long run, don't have a vital or even certainly unmistakable job, which Christopher Marlowe utilised as an instrument. Anyway, he can be represented as a man who lived on a risky edge of social and scholarly attributes. Now and again, it might appear to be that Marlowe's provocative ladies' locales of power were not the equivalents of men: "Dido: Speaks not Aneas like a vanquisher?"[lxxvi].
Besides, a huge segment that disrupts masculine authority is love. Both Dr. Faustus and Master Barabas resolutely acquiesce to their escorts, although this element is clearer in Marlowe's dramas. In "Dr. Faustus", Faustus and Helen participate in a bisexual pretend: he plays Semele and Paris: "I will be Paris, and for affection for thee/rather than Troy will Wittenberg be sacked... At the point when he appeared to hapless Semele"[lxxvii], while Helen attempts the paradigm of female magnificence yet, also, the job of Jupiter: "More splendid workmanship thou than flaming Jupiter"[lxxviii].
A while later, a couple of lines, Faustus ends up being aware of his evil, but he is additionally left with a sabotaged manliness: "that enticed Eve might be saved, yet not Faustus"[lxxix].

Conclusion

In reexamining Christopher Marlowe's portrayal of women across his body of work, a complex tapestry emerges, revealing nuanced perspectives on femininity within the cultural milieu of the Renaissance era. Marlowe, situated in a time characterized by evolving discourse on desire and power dynamics, navigates these thematic territories with a discerning lens.

His female characters, while often subject to tragic circumstances and societal constraints, exhibit a depth of emotion, agency, and moral complexity. Marlowe deftly imbues them with both angelic virtues and human flaws, challenging prevailing notions of feminine virtue. This subtle subversion, juxtaposed against the grandeur of his epic works, underscores Marlowe's ability to transcend conventional human sympathies.

Furthermore, Marlowe's treatment of women extends beyond mere iconoclasm. He delves into the prevailing belief in female moral frailty, contributing to the broader discourse on the nature of evil. This exploration, though potentially provocative, does not seek outright rebellion, but rather invites contemplation on the multifaceted nature of human existence.

Within this paradigm, Marlowe's protagonists embody the quintessential Renaissance ideals of the striving, intellectually curious individual. "Doctor Faustus," for instance, epitomizes the pursuit of knowledge and power, even as he grapples with the enduring influence of love. Marlowe's deft characterization thus situates him as a keen observer of the human condition, particularly within the context of shifting societal norms.

In his portrayal of Queen Elizabeth, Marlowe strikes a delicate balance between acknowledging her sovereign authority and scrutinizing the potential ramifications of her romantic decisions. Through this lens, Marlowe engages with the complexities of female agency within the political and personal spheres.

In conclusion, this reevaluation of Marlowe's treatment of women underscores the playwright's nuanced understanding of the feminine experience in the Renaissance period. His characters, while subject to societal constraints, emerge as vibrant embodiments of virtue and complexity. Marlowe's exploration of desire, power, and morality within the context of evolving cultural norms positions him as a perceptive commentator on the human condition. Through this lens, Marlowe's works remain a valuable testament to the intricate interplay of gender dynamics within the tapestry of Renaissance literature.

[i] Ralph J. Kaufmann (Edited). *The Idea of History in Marlowe's Tamburlaine. Elizabethan Drama: Modern Essays in Criticism*, New York, 1961, p. 85.
[ii] Williams, Deanne. "Medievalism in English Renaissance Literature", *A Companion to Tudor Literature*, York University, 2010, p. 224.
[iii] Stevenson, Robert Louis. *Woman in the Renaissance and Reformation*, reviewed 2018, Oxford Bibliographies, p.10.
[iv] Ibid, p.11
[v] ibid, p.12
[vi] Juliet. Dusinberre, *Shakespeare and the Nature of Women*, Palgrave Macmillan, 1975.
[vii] Irene G. Dash, *Wooing, Wedding, and Power*, Columbia Univ Pr, 1985.
[viii] Domenico Lovascio, *Roman Women in Shakespeare and his contemporaries*, De Gruyter, 2020
[ix] T. M. Pearce, "Marlowe and Castiglione", *Language Modern Quarterly*, Vol. 12, Issue 01, 1951, pp. 9–11.
[x] Levin, Harry. *The Overreacher: A Study of Christopher Marlowe*, Harvard University Press, 1952, p. 41.
[xi] Ibid. p. 58
[xii] Marlowe, Christopher. *Tamburlaine the Great*, A Scholar Press Facsimile, Yorkshire, 1973 p. 17
[xiii] Camden, Carroll. *Elizabethan Woman*, Paul P Appel, Publisher, 1975, p. 28.
[xiv] Levin, Harry. *The Overreacher: A Study of Christopher Marlowe*, Harvard University Press, 1952, pp. 31–32, 40, 45.
[xv] Marlowe, Christopher. *Tamburlaine the Great*, A Scholar Press Facsimile, Yorkshire, 1973, p. 36
[xvi] Ibid, p. 39
[xvii] Marlowe, Christopher. *Tamburlaine the Great*, A Scholar Press Facsimile, Yorkshire, 1973 Part II, p. 94.

[xviii] Kocher, Paul Harold, *Christopher Marlowe: a study of his thought, learning and character*, Russell & Russell p. 93

[xix] Camden, Carroll. *Elizabethan Woman*, Paul P Appel, Publisher, 1975, p. 27.
[xx] *Elizabethan Drama: Modern Essays in Criticism*, edited by R. J. Kaufmann, Galaxy Books, pp. 95–122; Web: "Mahood 1961, Marlowe's Heroes," 1961, pp. 101–102.
[xxi] Levin, Harry. *The Overreacher: A Study of Christopher Marlowe*, Harvard University Press, 1952, p. 35
[xxii] Ibid

[xxiii] T. M. Pearce, "Marlowe and Castiglione", *Language Modern Quarterly* XII, 1952, 3-12.
[xxiv] Marlowe, Christopher. *Dido, The Queen of Carthage,* 1597, D. S Maurice, Fenchurch Street, p. 136.
[xxv] ibid.
[xxvi] Marlowe, Christopher. *Dido, The Queen of Carthage,*1597, D. S Maurice, Fenchurch Street, p.138
[xxvii] Marlowe, Christopher. *Dido, The Queen of Carthage,* 1597, D. S Maurice, Fenchurch Street, Act 2. i, p. 22.
[xxviii] Marlowe, Christopher. *The Tragic History of Dr. Faustus,* Produced by Gary. R. Young, and David Aidger, 2009, Act V, I, p. 142.
[xxix] Ibid
[xxx] Ibid. p. 156
[xxxi] Marlowe, Christopher. *The Jew of Malta,* Produced by Gary R. Young, and David Aidger, 2009, Edited by The Rev. Alexander Dyce, p. 253
[xxxii] Barabas: "The Jew of Malta" by Christopher Marlowe portrays Barabas, a wealthy Jewish merchant and father of Abigail, as a victim of religious bigotry and ruthless schemer, whose violent end is driven by hate rather than religious doctrine.
[xxxiii] Marlowe, Christopher. *The Jew of Malta,* Produced by Gary R. Young, and David Aidger, 2009, Edited by The Rev. Alexander Dyce, Act 1 Sc. Ii.
[xxxiv] Christopher Marlowe, The Tragedy of Dido, and Queen of Carthage, in Christopher Marlowe: The Complete Plays, ed. Mark Thornton Burnett (Vermont: The Everyman Library, 1999), 243-93, Act 3, scene 1, lines 11-13.
[xxxv] Bailey, Anne, and Karen Grandy. *Paying attention: critical essays on Timothy Findley,* ECW Press, 1999, p. 158.
[xxxvi] Marlowe, Christopher. *The Tragic History of Dr. Faustus,* Produced by Gary. R. Young, and David Aidger, 2009," p. 156.
[xxxvii] Marlowe, Christopher. *Tamburlaine the Great,* A Scholar Press Facsimile, Yorkshire, 1973, p. 36-37.
[xxxviii] Marlowe, Christopher. *Tamburlaine the Great,* A Scholar Press Facsimile, Yorkshire, 1973, Act IV, Sc. ii.
[xxxix] Marlowe, Christopher. *Tamburlaine the Great,* A Scholar Press Facsimile, Yorkshire, 1973, p. 23
[xl] Brooks, Charles. "Tamburlaine and Attitudes Towards Women", *Johns Hopkins University Press.* Vol. 24, No. 1, March 1957, pp. 1-11.
[xli] Spence, Leslie. "The Influence of Marlowe's Sources on Tamburlaine I", pp. 196-197, *Modern Philology,* Vol. 24, No. 2 (Nov., 1926), pp. 181-199.
[xlii] Ibid
[xliii] Frank Percy Wilson, *Marlowe and the Early Shakespeare,* Oxford, 1953, pp. 33-35.
[xliv] Levin, Harry. *The Overreacher: A Study of Christopher Marlowe,* Harvard University Press, 1952, P.46."
[xlv] Marlowe, Christopher. *The Jew of Malta,* Produced by Gary R. Young, and David Aidger, 2009, Edited by The Rev. Alexander Dyce, p. 19.
[xlvi] Marlowe, Christopher. *Tamburlaine the Great,* A Scholar Press Facsimile, Yorkshire, 1973, p. 49
[xlvii] ibid.
[xlviii] Marlowe, Christopher. *Tamburlaine the Great,* A Scholar Press Facsimile, Yorkshire, 1973, p. 66

[xlix] Marlowe, Christopher. *Tamburlaine the Great*, A Scholar Press Facsimile, Yorkshire, 1973, p. 57
[l] Hendricks, Margo. *Managing the Barbarian: The Tragedy of Dido, Queen of Carthage*, New Series, Vol. 23, Renaissance Drama in an Age of Colonization (1992), pp. 165-188
[li] Marlowe, Christopher. *The Tragic History of Dr. Faustus*, Produced by Gary. R. Young, and David Aidger, 2009, p. 112
[lii] Ibid, p. 106
[liii] Ibid, p. 116
[liv] Ibid. p. 156
[lv] Ibid, p. 158
[lvi] Erlich, Richard and Robert Ornstein. *Christopher Marlowe's Dr. Faustus: The Norton Anthology of English Literature,* Miami University, 2000.
[lvii] Patrick, Cheney. *The Cambridge Companion to Christopher Marlowe*, Cambridge University Press, 2004
[lviii] Carla; "*The role of women in the works of Christopher Marlowe"*, *Cristopher Marlowe*, 2013
[lix] (19)." Marlowe, Christopher. *Tamburlaine the Great*, A Scholar Press Facsimile, Yorkshire, 1973
[lx] Paul H. Kocher, "The Development of Christopher Marlowe's Character," *Philological Quarterly*, XVII (October, 193$), 332-334.
[lxi] Marlowe, Christopher. *Tamburlaine the Great*, A Scholar Press Facsimile, Yorkshire, 1973, p. 56
[lxii] Christopher Marlowe, *The Tragedy of Dido, and Queen of Carthage*, in Christopher Marlowe: The Complete Plays, ed. Mark Thornton Burnett (Vermont: The Everyman Library, 1999), p. 30.
[lxiii] Ibid, p. 136
[lxiv] Marlowe, Christopher. *Tamburlaine the Great*, A Scholar Press Facsimile, Yorkshire, 1973, p. 16
[lxv] D. M. Bevington. *From "Mankind" to Marlowe: Growth of Structure in the Popular Drama of Tudor England*, Harvard University Press, 1962, pp. 205-206,
[lxvi] Marlowe, Christopher. *The Jew of Malta*, Produced by Gary R. Young, and David Aidger, 2009, Edited by The Rev. Alexander Dyce, p. 253
[lxvii] Ibid
[lxviii] Ibid. p. 265
[lxix] Marlowe, Christopher. *Tamburlaine the Great*, A Scholar Press Facsimile, Yorkshire, 1973, p. 34
[lxx] Ibid. P. 43
[lxxi] Ibid. p. 49
[lxxii] Ibid. p. 57
[lxxiii] Marlowe's *Tamburlaine The Great, Part two,* Edited by Ellis-Fermor, ed., The Kit Marlowe Project, 1930, p. 247.
[lxxiv] ibid
[lxxv] ibid
[lxxvi] Marlowe, Christopher. *Tamburlaine the Great*, A Scholar Press Facsimile, Yorkshire, 1973. P. 170
[lxxvii] Marlowe, Christopher. *The Tragic History of Dr. Faustus*, Produced by Gary. R. Young, and David Aidger, 2009, p. 158.
[lxxviii] Ibid. p. 106
[lxxix] Ibid. p. 108